



We come in peace



We come in brotherhood



**Without prejudice
Notice to the Principal is Notice to the Agent and
Notice to the Agent is Notice to the Principal**

Drafted on the first day of the ninth month in the
Year of Our Lord two thousand and twenty-two

To: *Receiver General*

Bill Blair, the man in your private capacity and doing business as President of Queen's Privy Council and Minister of Emergency Preparedness; and

Christia Freeland, the woman in your private capacity and doing business as Minister of Finance; and

David Lametti, the man in your private capacity and doing business as Minister of Justice and Attorney General; and

Diane Lebouthillier, the woman in your private capacity and doing business as Minister of National Revenue; and

Bob Hamilton, the man in your private capacity and doing business as the Commissioner and Chief Executive Officer of Canada Revenue Agency; and

Brigitte Diogo, the woman in your private capacity and doing business as the Deputy Commissioner of Canada Revenue Agency; and

Helena Jaczek, the woman in your private capacity and doing business as the Minister of Public Services and Procurement, a.k.a. Receiver General; and

Patrick Cashman, the man in your private capacity and doing business as legal counsel for the Queen through the Department of Justice, Vancouver Branch, individually and collectively referred to herein as "you".

RN 644 152 774 CA

RN 644 152 859 CA

RN 644 152 831 CA

RN 644 152 814 CA

RN 644 152 845 CA

RN 644 152 788 CA

RN 644 152 765 CA

RN 644 152 805 CA

RN 644 152 791 CA

From: Kazimierz Czeslaw Kryszczuk (a.k.a. Kaz Crischuk) and others


Take Note that the word "person" hereinafter means a man or a woman or a male or female child and the word "persons" and or "people" means men, women and children unless the context demands an alternative meaning. The word "man" is a general term that denotes and means all men and women.

Re: Notice of Liability for Fraud and Extortion and Potential Claims

I, the underautographed, and on behalf of the others (who shall remain unnamed at this time in order to protect their privacy), write this open letter to demand a review and elimination of all Notices of



We come in peace



We come in brotherhood

Without prejudice Notice to the Principal is Notice to the Agent and Notice to the Agent is Notice to the Principal

Drafted on the first day of the ninth month in the Year of Our Lord two thousand and twenty-two

To:

Bill Blair, the man in your private capacity and doing business as President of Queen’s Privy Council and Minister of Emergency Preparedness; and

Chrystia Freeland, the woman in your private capacity and doing business as Minister of Finance; and

David Lametti, the man in your private capacity and doing business as Minister of Justice and Attorney General; and

Diane Lebouthillier, the woman in your private capacity and doing business as Minister of National Revenue; and

Bob Hamilton, the man in your private capacity and doing business as the Commissioner and Chief Executive Officer of Canada Revenue Agency; and

Brigitte Diogo, the woman in your private capacity and doing business as the Deputy Commissioner of Canada Revenue Agency; and

Helena Jaczek, the woman in your private capacity and doing business as the Minister of Public Services and Procurement, a.k.a. Receiver General; and

Patrick Cashman, the man in your private capacity and doing business as legal counsel for the Queen through the Department of Justice, Vancouver Branch, individually and collectively referred to herein as “you”.

From: Kazimierz Czeslaw Kryszczuk (a.k.a. Kaz Crischuk) and others

Take Note that the word “person” hereinafter means a man or a woman or a male or female child and the word “persons” and or “people” means men, women and children unless the context demands an alternative meaning. The word “man” is a general term that denotes and means all men and women.

Re: Notice of Liability for Fraud and Extortion and Potential Claims

I, the undersigned, and on behalf of the others (who shall remain unnamed at this time in order to protect their privacy), write this open letter to demand a review and elimination of all Notices of Assessment and or Reassessments and collections of taxes, interest and penalties imposed on us from the time of our first income tax return submitted under threat of severe penalties, including illegal incarceration and or seizure and confiscation of private property taken by the alleged Federal and or Provincial Government which were based on the premise of a valid Income Tax Act and Excise Tax Act.

Take Notice: We hereby give you notice of potential liabilities should there be continued reliance on this premise and subsequent overreach of powers, whilst there is overwhelming evidence against such a premise.

Summary Points

- 1. All people operating under public office and others to whom this letter is addressed, are put on notice of possible Personal Civil and Criminal Liability; potentially arising against them for any current or future intentional or reckless continuation of the misrepresentation of information and misuse of power of office, causing direct loss and injury to us;**
- 2. All other office holders to which this letter is copied to, are also put on notice of the evidence and potential civil and criminal liability arising out of future claims that may also possibly affect them arising out of their decision making or carrying out of decisions of their superiors should such actions violate the rule of law;**
- 3. On my behalf and that of the other victims (Schedule A) of the intentional or unintentional fraud and extortion of funds and other personal and private property by you, we demand an immediate halt to all actions and procedures and collections outlined in the alleged Income Tax Act (I.T.A.) and the Excise Tax Act (E.T.A.) and the immediate return/refund of **all** funds/moneys extorted from us.**
- 4. In addition to potential claims based on future personal liability, we reserve our rights to take legal action against you jointly and severally with respect to past damages and losses incurred up to this point in time;**
- 5. We also require your response to specific questions in this letter addressed to you within 15 days of your receipt of this Notice.**

Opening Statement

As a group, acknowledging our duty to know the law and to abide by it, we are evidence based.

From researched and obtained facts that are publicly available in Canada and in the United Kingdom (a.k.a the U.K., Great Britain, or England), there appears to be irrefutable evidence that we can no longer rely on the premise that the I.T.A. and E.T.A. are valid laws and that they have ever had force and effect.

It appears evident that the Provincial and or Federal governments have deliberately and covertly hidden the following facts (as the case may be) from the people of Canada:

1. The British North America Act (B.N.A.1867)) was a guide for the administration of the United Colony of Canada but was not evidence of a Confederation because the Provinces were colonies of Great Britain and not sovereign States; *and*
2. That the Governor General (G.G.) of Canada was an appointee of and by the British Colonial Office who administered the colonies on behalf of the Parliament of the U.K.; *and*
3. The British Monarchs' sole role was to present the letters of administration to each of the newly appointed G.G.'s, which were then to be presented to the Federal (officially known as the Central Government) of Canada; *and*
4. That the B.N.A. 1867 was amended by having the enacting clause and the succession clause repealed in 1893 by the British Parliament; *and*
5. That the "Queen" mentioned in the B.N.A. 1867 was Queen Victoria and no other Monarch, before or since; *and*
6. That the reference to the "Queen" in the B.N.A. 1867 was never removed or amended, therefore all references to the "Queen" in the B.N.A. 1867 was only for Queen Victoria and no succeeding Monarch;
7. That on the death of Queen Victoria in 1901, the B.N.A. 1867 became void for lack of a successor;; *and*
8. That by virtue of the Statute of Westminster 1931, the Provincial colonies of Canada were given their independence and the United Colony of Canada ceased to exist legally; *and*
9. That the Provinces did not exercise their gained freedom as independent and sovereign States to establish a provisional united or Central government on behalf of the people, and to notify the people of Canada that a new Federal Government would be formed after a Federal Constitution was drafted, presented to the people for discussion and ratified by them; *and*
10. That the Provinces did not form provisional governments to draft their own local Constitution, thereafter presenting such a draft Constitution to the people of the Province for deliberation and ratification; *and*
11. That the people were always sovereign in their own right but have been presumed to be and treated as "legal persons" who had no rights, just duties and obligations; *and*
12. Seeing that the B.N.A.1867 was fraudulent for the lack of a legitimate, legal Governor General since 1931, William Lyon Mackenzie King presented a Bill to Parliament establishing the Office of the Governor General in 1947/1948 claiming he did so in the name of and by the authority of King George VI, who had no legal or lawful authority to grant such a request on behalf of the British Parliament, which no longer had any authority in and over Canada; *and*
13. That the Income Tax Act of 1948 was passed by the Federal Government and assented to by Harold Alexander, the Viscount Alexander of Tunis, who took the vacant, non-existent Office of Governor General on April 12, 1946; *and*
14. That Pierre Elliot Trudeau flew to Great Britain in 1981 to meet with the then Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher imploring her to introduce a Bill to the British Parliament allowing the now illegal Central and Local Governments the right to amend the B.N.A.1867, said Bill to be called *The Canada Act*, to which Elizabeth the Second did append her Royal Assent; and in so doing, Trudeau was moved to lie to the public that he "patriated" the Canadian Constitution from Great Britain to Canada. His government then renamed the B.N.A.1867 the *Constitution Act 1982*; *and*
15. That the Revised Statute Act of 1985 (R.S.A.1985) repealed all existing Federal Enactments (Acts) substituting them with then-current Consolidated Acts which were brought up to date; *and*
16. That said Revised Acts did not have the legally required enacting clause, the failure of which condemned said Acts to the status of invalid and void Acts with no force and effect; *and*

17. That any and all new Acts such as the former Federal Sales Tax Act, now called the Excise Tax Act, which includes the Goods and Services Tax, are void and invalid for the failure to have an enacting clause.
18. That the voluntary filing of income tax returns under the I.T.A. and G.S.T. is a misnomer in that there is no voluntary aspect to the two Acts, severe penalties being applied if not complied with; *and*
19. That a fraud has been perpetrated on the people (men and women / living souls and sovereigns) of Canada making them believe that each is a “legal person”, a form of corporation, over which the administration and judicial systems have total and arbitrary control; *and*
20. That when I demanded that the “Crown” produce a certified copy of the I.T.A. to the Supreme Court of British Columbia in my appeal from the judgement of the Provincial Court in 2010; the Department of Justice counsel failed to produce said copy to the Court, saying that she could not obtain it but that all the subsequent amendments were valid, to which I had no rebuttal (a truly nonsense statement as no legal amendment may be made on a non-existing Statute; the judge then pronounced sentence and I was incarcerated for six months (100 days after “good behaviour”) in the Kamloops Correctional Facility.

Legal Questions

1. When and where was the national Provisional Government established for the sole purpose of creating a local, Canadian Constitution after December 11, 1931?
2. When and where and by what means was a draft Canadian Constitution presented to the Canadian people for discussion and input?
3. When and where was the vote by the sovereign people of Canada accepting a local, Canadian Constitution?
4. Where can one obtain a certified copy of the Canadian Constitution ratified by the people of Canada?
5. Where can one obtain a certified copy of a legal Income Tax Act and Excise Tax Act?
6. Where is the official deed and or title to CANADA/Canada, which the Crown assumes is its property?

Facts & Evidence

Mandatory elements of an “Enactment”

According to *Halsbury’s Laws of England*, and *Craie’s on Statutes*, each Statute/Act/Enactment must have the following: Introduction, purpose of Act (although no longer mandatory), an enacting clause and clauses defining the terms and conditions of the Statute and penalties if any, date of promulgation with the Royal Assent. Failure to have these elements voids the Statute *ab initio* rendering the Statute of no force and effect.

Royal Assent may be given directly by the Monarch in attendance at the time of the Government’s acceptance after all procedures have been followed or by the Monarch’s written Assent at a later time or by his/her official, legal representative in one of the Monarch’s colonies or territories, such as a Governor General who legally may speak in the Monarch’s name.

The King or Queen of England/United Kingdom/Britain (U.K.)

There is no evidence and or basis in fact to presume that the Monarchs of the U.K. have continued to have any legal authority in or over Canada since the repeal of the enacting clause of the B.N.A.1867, the death of Queen Victoria and or the Statute Westminster 1931. Those three events were available to Canadians but they did not know them or did they understand them because the various Law Societies made sure that the laws of Canada were/are not taught in school and so that the Queen's obedient lawyers would have a monopoly in understanding and using the "law". The Statute of Westminster 1931 granted full and irrevocable independence to the named colonies from the British Parliament and its Colonial Office on December 11, 1931. The British Monarchs succeed each other by virtue of the British Rules of Succession and hold their Office during their lifetime or upon abdication. There is no British Statute that allows or authorizes the continuation of the limited authority of the Monarchs upon the soil of any former colony of the U.K. There is no known legal Canadian Statute that permits or authorizes a British Monarch to sit as the Head of State of Canada. However, the British Monarch is the Head of the Commonwealth to which Canada is a member but that does not give the British Monarch the right and authority to sit as the Head of State of Canada.

There is no demonstrable evidence that any member of the British Monarchy, or the CROWN has any deed and or title to any property of any description in the boundaries of CANADA or Canada. Even assuming that the B.N.A. 1867 was/is a valid Enactment/Act/Statute, only the Provinces had jurisdiction over property within the Province and there was given no rights to property to the Central Parliament.

The Commonwealth

According to Wikipedia, the Commonwealth is: "a traditional English term for a political community founded for the common good. Historically, it has been synonymous with 'republic'. The noun 'commonwealth', meaning 'public welfare, general good or advantage', dates from the 15th century." www.Britannica.org states that "it is a free association of sovereign states comprising the United Kingdom and a number of its former dependencies [colonies] who have chosen to maintain ties of friendship and practical cooperation and who acknowledge the British Monarch as **symbolic** head [not head of State or *de jure* head of State] of their association."

Corporations

A corporation (corpse and oration) is a legal fiction created specifically to have a fictitious existence as an entity separate and apart from that of its owners and according to Meriam Webster Dictionary, it is "**an invisible, intangible, artificial creation of the law existing as a voluntary chartered association of individuals that has most of the rights and privileges and duties of a man or woman**". Since the entity has no brain or existence or as a living soul, and is only words on a piece of paper, it must have a man or woman as a representative who will speak for it as if it were alive.

There is no denying the fact that all men and women are considered, at law, to be corporations that have the odd ability to speak and that is why these men and women are told that they can "represent themselves" and the Courts will only recognize these men and women as "officers" of the corporation whose name is similar to that of the man or woman. Corporations are chartered by the State and must obey the rules of the Corporations or Companies Act of their respective Province. Corporations that are convicted of an offence are then penalized according to the penal section of the specific Statute but the man or woman suffers in its place as a presumed Trustee.

Presumption of Regularity

It is a general rule in law that all government, administration and judicial acts and Acts/Enactments/Statutes (procedures) are valid and have force and effect in operation and, therefore, people can be convicted and condemned on the strength of the premise and pretext that the accused is the only one who has the obligation to prove that said procedures are executed within the law. However, this rule is rebuttable and is herein fully rebutted.

Sovereignty or Slavery

These are the only two true statuses or conditions of man.

The Holy Bible (K.J.V.) states clearly from the outset that after God created the heavens and the Earth and then animals, fish and birds, he created a man in His Image and then created a companion for the man: woman, both living souls to whom he gave **dominion** over the Earth and its creatures. He did not give the authority to man to make slaves of other men and women.

Slavery is defined as: “**1** a situation in which one person has absolute power over the life, fortune, and liberty of another. **2** The practice of keeping individuals in such a state of bondage or servitude.” (Black’s Law Dictionary, 7th Ed.)

In Black’s, a sovereign is defined as “**1** a person, body, or state vested with independent and supreme authority. **2** The ruler of an independent state. – also spelled *soveran*.”

It is quite apparent from the means by which the members of the illegal Parliament and Legislatures, through their legal counsels who draft Statutes, have operated that men and women have been relegated to the status of slave by making them Trustees of the Trusts created on people’s birth, rather than the beneficiaries of those Trusts.

Trust and Trusteeship

Every parent of every new-born baby completes a form often called “A Record of Live Birth” giving details of the place, time and sex of the child born to the parents, which is then “registered” in the Vital Statistics Office of the Province of birth. The child is then handed a Certificate of Birth or a Birth Certificate with some of the same particulars. At this time, a Trust, which has a monetary value by virtue of the Canadian Ownership Determination and Control Act (C.O.D.D.A.), is established by the Province. Simultaneously, every Trust must have a Trustee, the Office of which is unknowingly filled by the child who then becomes accountable and responsible to the State as the Trustee of the Trust when he/she is of “legal” age. It is the Trust that is then the “person” in law that bears the duties, responsibilities and obligations of all of the Statutes of the Province and or the Federal government. By the terms and conditions of the Provincial Trustees Act, the Trustee (man/woman) is then made responsible and accountable for contravening a specific Statute to which the man or woman is not subject, but the Trust is.

Expressum facit cessare tacitum *Something expressed nullifies what is unexpressed.*

Fraud, Extortion and Force

Fraud is defined as “**1. A knowing misrepresentation of the truth or concealment of a material fact to induce another to act to his or her detriment...2. A misrepresentation made recklessly without belief in its truth to induce another person to act...4. Unconscionable dealing:...the unconscientious use of a power arising out of the parties’ relative positions and resulting in an unconscionable bargain.**”

Extortion is defined as “**1 The offense committed by a public official who illegally obtains property under the color of office; esp. an official’s collection of an unlawful fee...2 The act or practice of**

obtaining something or compelling some action by illegal means, as by force or coercion.” Force is defined as: “Power, violence, or pressure directed against a person or thing”.

By the levying of taxes, interest and or penalties under the I.T.A. and the E.T.A. without legal authority to do so may well constitute fraud and the collection of said taxes, interest and penalties without legal authority to do so may also be considered extortion. Force is used directly by the Federal Government or through its collection agency, the Canada Revenue Agency and its legal counsel / attorneys, the Department of Justice and or the Public Prosecution Office and in so doing, the action results in fear (and or loss of property) in the hearts of men and women who are subjected to this illegal activity.

Governor General

In the booklet entitled *Inside Canada* published by R. Rogers Smith, Ottawa, February 1, 1939, he states that the Letters Patent

“CONSTITUTING the Office of Governor General and Commander in Chief of the Dominion of Canada dated 23rd March, 1931...was not issued by the British Parliament, nor is it signed by the King: but by Sir Claude Schuster, Clerk of the Crown in Chancery, which is the Dept. of Lands of Great Britain of which the Colonial Office is a branch [1763 – 1931]...A clear deed and title to Canada has been transferred from the British share-holder to the Canadian **people** [emphasis is mine] by the Enactment of the Statute of Westminster, Dec. 11, 1931...The twelve Right Honourables and the Governor General were nothing more than an agency of the Crown in Chancery in Canada. When the title of ownership was transferred the Crown in Chancery no longer could give instructions or grant any authority to any one over this land: Canada...When Lord Tweedsmuir arrived in Canada Nov. 2, 1935, he was sworn in at Quebec by the Rt. Hon. Sir Lyman P. Duff, who stated in the Canada Gazette that he was swearing him in by authority of Letters Patent of June 15, 1905...[but] these had been revoked on March 23, 1935...He was not appointed nor did he receive any **Instructions** from George the Fifth or Edward the Eighth. These Monarchs knew their duty to Canada and their Constitutional position, and they did not appoint him their representative...**PARLIAMENT IS NOT GOVERNMENT.** It will be evident that Government is an entirely separate matter from that of Parliament. It is true that the British North America Act constitute a Parliament, but it does not provide for a Premier, Prime Minister or Cabinet [who] receive...their indemnity...as **The Member of the King’s Privy Council holding the recognized position of First Minister** (Salaries Act, C. 182)...The ‘King’s Privy Council for Canada’ is constituted to ‘aid and advise’ the Government. It is not part of the Government...The British North America Act was never intended to be more than a supplementary Constitution creating a Parliament to be ancillary to the Government, which Government today has no Constitution.

“Since then [Dec 11, 1931] neither the Governor General nor the Right Honourables have received instructions from either the Crown in Chancery or the British Parliament, nor have they received any power from any of the Provinces of Canada. When Canada was a *possession* of the British people it was a duty of the Crown in Chancery to grant the power to govern. Today the Lands of Canada are the *possession* of the Provinces, and whereas prior to 1931 the power to govern was granted by the Crown in Chancery, this power must now be conferred upon a central government by the Provinces collectively signing an agreement.”

This “agreement” has never been signed and the Parliament of Canada in Ottawa operates without land and without any authority whatsoever. All legislation/Enactments/Acts of the “Federal Government” are void and of no force or effect and, therefore, committed in fraud.

When Adrienne Clarkson was sworn in as Governor General, her webpage stated that she “carries out Her Majesty’s duties in Canada on a daily basis and is Canada’s **de facto** Head of State.” Since the British Monarchs had no authority in and over Canada since 1931, the Governor General could not act on the Monarch’s behalf. Furthermore, it states that “Canada is a parliamentary democracy

and a constitutional monarchy. This means Canadians recognize the Queen as our Head of State.” This is a lie because the people/sovereigns of Canada have never officially recognized the British Monarchs as Heads of State of Canada. That proposition was never offered to the Canadian people for ratification; it is a presumption of the Central Parliament and Provincial Legislatures and this presumption is emphatically rebutted herein.

Praxis judicium est interpres legume. What is illegal out not to be admitted under pretext of legality.

Receiver General

Definition: “A receiver is a **person appointed as custodian of a person or entity's property, finances, general assets, or business operations.** Receivers can be appointed by courts, government regulators, or private entities. Receivers seek to realize and secure assets and manage affairs to pay debts.” (*Investopedia*) and “a person or company appointed by a court to manage the financial affairs of a business or person that has gone bankrupt.”

According the Federal Government website, the first Receiver General was “appointed by King George III as Governor of the Province of Quebec...The *Financial Administration Act* [which does not have an enacting clause] was amended in 1969. The Comptroller of the Treasury was abolished and the Receiver General assumed the treasury functions once again. The Receiver General separated from the Department of Finance to become part of the newly created Ministry of Supply and Services (which later became Public Works and Government Services Canada (PWGSC)).”

The Department of PWGSC is not a legal entity since it is established under the *Financial Administration Act*, which is itself neither lawful nor legal.

Elizabeth Alexandra Mary Windsor

After the death of her father, King George VI, Elizabeth succeeded to the British Monarchical throne. Evidence shows that she was not a British citizen but was of German descent. At her Coronation, she publicly agreed to the terms and conditions of the Coronation Oath. The official Monarch’s crown was placed on her head. She did not dispute the Archbishop of Canterbury when he said that the Holy Bible, i.e. the King James Version was and is the “Royal Law”.

The Crown

As we write this Notice, the Crown is officially dead and the official funeral ceremony will be held (was held) on September 19, 2022. According to British custom, the Crown is defined as the totality of the people and Statutes and Administration of the U.K. However, according to the illegal and unlawful Canadian Federal *Interpretation Act*, the Crown or CROWN is the current, sitting British Monarch or the successor to the Throne of England in the event of the demise of the Crown or CROWN. Charles, the Prince of Wales, is presumed to be King Charles III but is not and cannot be the reigning Monarch until his official coronation, if any.

The Crown became the owner of the lands of Canada west of the official colonies of the Maritimes and Quebec and Ontario when Charles I issued and gave to his cousin, Prince Rupert, the lands comprising the Hudson’s Bay territories outlined in the 1670 *Hudson’s Bay Charter*. Thus Rupert and his companion “adventurers” were given *carte blanche* over the said territories/lands in order to establish and maintain trading posts and a military and judicial and administrative branch of government under a “Governor”. This Governor was the *de facto* ruler over the lands. A tribute was paid to the King for having granted the *Charter*. Regardless of any Parliament or Legislature in the

Provinces that were established under the B.N.A. 1867 that came under the jurisdiction of the Governor General on behalf of the Department of Lands and Plantations (later called The Colonial Office), "Rupert's Land" was under the control of the British Monarchy. Eventually, Rupert's Land was assimilated into CANADA when all the Provinces joined the United Colony at various times.

Nevertheless, the British Monarch maintained control over the lands of Canada through the "Charter", which became the property of CANADA after the Statute of Westminster 1931. As CANADA was from then on defunct as a political system and the Provinces given independence and sovereignty, it was their responsibility to form a new Central Government but they did not. It came about that CANADA was like a ship floundering on the sea and the CROWN saw its opportunity to seize possession of the "vessel" under Maritime Law. The "Charter" was returned to the CROWN by the Hudson's Bay Company but the "Charter" was never dissolved but returned to the Hudson's Bay Company to be displayed in their headquarters boardroom...for safe keeping and for the illusion that the "Charter" was dead.

Thus, the CROWN has had its agents maintain and enforce the presumption that the CROWN is the owner of the lands and waters in CANADA. But CANADA is nothing more than a corporation owned entirely by the British Monarchy that the Canadian Interpretation Act calls the "Crown". However, there is no evidence that any member of the British Monarchy, including the Office of the King or Queen of England holds a deed or title to said lands and or waters.

It is a fraud!

The Holy Bible: King James Version

As mentioned, the K.J.V. is the "Royal Law" as declared by the Archbishop of Canterbury, Geoffrey Fisher, and confirmed by Elizabeth Alexandra Mary Windsor by her silence on her Coronation on the second of June, 1953. What does the expression, "Royal Law" mean? In James 2, the "Royal Law" means "love your neighbor as you love yourself", which, in essence, means that one must do no harm to another. It is the foundation of Common Law and the Holy Bible is the means of achieving that love. The truth is that if James 2 is quoted as having in its text the expression "Royal Law", then it follows that the K.J.V. is the whole "Royal Law" and supersedes all subservient laws, i.e. Enactments/Acts/Statutes of man.

By the love of God, He made man in His own Image, which is not the mortal body but the living soul that inhabits the mortal body. Genesis I is very clear: "Gen. I **26**: And God said, 'Let vs make man in our Image, after our likeness: and let them haue dominion ouer the fish of the sea, and ouer the foule of the aire, and ouer the cattell, and ouer all the earth, and ouer euery creeping thing that creepeth vpon the earth. **27** So God created man in his owne Image, in the Image of God created hee him: *male and female created hee them.'" To man, He gave **28** "dominion ouer the fish of the sea, and ouer the foule of the aire, and ouer euery liuing thing that mooueth vpon the earth."

There is no reference to man having dominion over man for that would be the slavery. Man (men) have made a creature in his image called a "person" such as the unliving entities: corporations and Trusts. The Monarchs of the U.K. are the Vicars of Christ and have the responsibility of executing and enforcing the "Royal Law". To operate in such a manner as to violate that "Royal Law" is to bring dishonor and disgrace to the liege to whom public officials have sworn an oath. The Oath of Allegiance is a religious ceremony and is done by placing the hand on the Bible. Perjury of this Oath condemns the man or woman to mortal punishment and spiritual retribution.

The Westminster Confession of Faith, 1646, states “The authority of the holy Scripture, for which it out to be believed and obeyed, dependeth not upon the testimony of any man or Church, but wholly upon God (who is truth itself), the Author thereof; and therefore it is to be received, because it is the Word of God” (chp I, IV). Further, it states that “A lawful oath is part of a religious worship, wherein upon just occasion, the person swearing solemnly calleth God to witness what he asserteth or promiseth; and to judge him according to the truth or falsehood of what he seareth...[thus may not] any man bind himself by oath to anything but what is good and just, and what he believeth so to be, and what he is able and resolved to perform...An oath is to be taken in the plain and common sense of the words, without equivocation or mental reservation...No man [or woman] may vow to do any thing forbidden in the Word of God, or what would hinder any duty therein commanded, or which is not in his own power, and for the performance of which he hath no promise or ability from God.” (chp XXIII).

Matthew XXIII 27 “Woe vnto you Scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites, for yee are like vnto whited sepulchers, which indeed appeare beautifull outward, but are within full of dead mens bones, and of all vncleannesse. 28 Euen so, yee also outwardly appeare righteous vnto men, but within ye are full of hypocrisie and iniquitie.”

Argumentum ab auctoritate est fortissimum in lege. An argument drawn from authority is the strongest in law.

Oath of Allegiance

The oath of allegiance to Her Majesty, Elizabeth the Second, a.k.a. Queen Elizabeth II is “legally” required of each and every public officials according to the Oath of Allegiance Act.

Allegiance is defined as:

1. Loyalty or the obligation of loyalty, as to a nation, sovereign, or cause. *synonym: fidelity.*
2. The obligations of a vassal to a lord.
3. The tie or obligation of a subject or citizen to his sovereign or government; the duty of fidelity to a king, government, or state. (American Heritage Dictionary)

Fidelity is defined as faithfulness to obligations, duties, or observances. (American Heritage Dictionary).

The obligations of each public official to the foreign Monarch of the U.K. binds them to the same duties and obligations to which that Monarch is bound. The formula if $A = B$ and $B = C$, then $A = C$ is the simplest way to explain this.

Omne sacramentum debet esse de certa scientia. Every oath ought to be founded on certain knowledge. ***Perjuri sunt qui servatis verbis juramenti decipiunt aures eorum qui accipiunt.*** Those who preserve the words of an oath but deceive the ears of those who accept it are perjurers.

Take Notice

You have the facts before you. You have the ability, duty and responsibility to correct the wrongs perpetrated by your predecessors. If you do not, then you are as guilty of the fraud against, and the

extortion of, the people of Canada executed in the name of the Crown as those who came before you. As agents and allegiants of the Crown, any continued conspiracy of fraud and extortion brings into disrepute, disgrace and dishonour to the Crown as your Principal.

Renunciation, revocation and rescission

i, Kazimierz Czeslaw Kryszczuk hereby solemnly declare as God is my Witness that I repudiate, renounce, revoke and rescind any and all adhesion contracts with, by, from, of the alleged Governments of Ottawa and any and all Provinces and the CROWN to which I have been innocently duped into believing were valid and true. i neither recognize nor under stand you as legitimate public officials who believe that you have authority and jurisdiction over me; and I do not recognize Elizabeth the Second as the *de jure* Queen of Canada.

Damages

I, Kazimierz Czeslaw Kryszczuk, (Slave Identification number (S.I.N.): 6**9**723 allotted to KAZIMIERZ CZESLAW KRYSZCZUK CRISCHUK, or any derivation thereof), of 685 Josselyn Court, Kelowna, British Columbia, have calculated that the compensation for my time and efforts inside and outside your Courts plus the hardship suffered during transportation from your Courts to and from Correctional Facilities plus the full days spent illegally incarcerated in New Westminster and Kamloops and Kelowna amount to one-half million dollars (\$500,000). The Income taxes, interest and penalties under the I.T.A. and taxes, interest and penalties under the E.T.A. since my first income tax return innocently but mistakenly filed by me since my 16th birthday must surely amount to one-half million dollars (\$500,000) more.

Nevertheless, since my Trust was accessed to pay for the illegal debts of this country and I was forced to pay the debts therein which should have been the responsibility of the Trustee, Elizabeth the Second, I hereby demand that the full amount of one million four hundred thousand dollars (\$1,400,000), including punitive damages, be delivered to me in gold or silver immediately and all Canada Revenue Agency placed liens on 685 Josselyn Court, Kelowna, British Columbia be removed irrevocably immediately within fifteen days of this Notice being received by you.

Conclusion

You are privately, severally and jointly accountable and responsible for the facts herein presented. You cannot claim ignorance of the law as such ignorance is no defence, especially those of you who hold the Office of Justice, Attorney General, Counsellor and or Privy Council. You all have the highest degree of knowledge of the law or should have as you are considered the Trustees of the truth and Rule of Law.

You each and all have the obligation and duty and moral responsibility to challenge, refute, rebut, or deny the facts herein stated.

Qui non improbat approbat. A person who does not disapprove approves. ***Qui non negat fatetur.*** A person who does not deny admits.

You each and all have fifteen (15) days to answer this Notice. Should you remain silent, that silence will be the testimony to your trespass upon me, the underautographed man, and upon the unnamed others (names and particulars to be provided in due course).

Dated this 27th day of the month of September, in the year 2022 in the city of Kelowna, in the Province of British Columbia.

Kaz Kryszczuk

all rights reserved and without prejudice